DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE, FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATION

STATE BOARD REPORT

DIVISION OF PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATION
PUBLISHED BY THE MISSOURI VETERINARY MEDICAL BOARD

Volume 6, Issue 1 October 2006

VETERINARY ISSUES OF INTEREST

Throughout this past year, the Board has dealt with many issues relating to the practice of veterinary medicine. The Board feels the following information is important to note for its licensees.

When the Board receives an official complaint against a veterinarian, the complaint is acknowledged and the veterinarian is requested to respond to the complaint upon review of the information provided. The Board also requests that the veterinarian submit a photocopy of the actual medical records and any treatment summary that the veterinarian may have. been several instances that the Board has requested medical records on a case and upon receipt of the information determine that the veterinarian is not in compliance with the minimum standards. The Board then has to decide if they feel the violation warrants an informal conference with the Board or if they should send the veterinarian a letter requesting all documentation on the patient. Therefore, the Board suggests that any and all records regarding the care provided to the patient be submitted along with the response to the Board.

Each year the Board reviews many complaints that violations of the Practice Act have occurred. The Board has disciplined licensees for the failure to meet the Minimum Standards for Medical Records and the failure to obtain anesthesia or surgical consent forms. It is extremely important that licensees review the Minimum Standards for Medical Records and the Rules of Professional Conduct. The Board rules specifically outline what is required for a veterinarian to be in compliance with the minimum standards for medical records and the rules of professional conduct.

Many violations noted by the board are violations that could have been prevented if the time was taken to review the minimum requirements and to make certain that the medical records are completed in accordance with 20 CSR 2270-4.041 and 20 CSR 2270-6.011.

When the practice of veterinary medicine is conducted, medical records are required for both large and small animals.

It is important to remember that if a complaint is filed against your license and the medical records are not in compliance your license may be subject to discipline. Therefore, please ensure that any and all contact you have with the patient has been recorded in the medical records.

It is also important to note that all records and radiographs shall be maintained for a minimum of five (5) years after the last visit.

The information contained in this article is important for licensees to understand and to make certain that they are in compliance with not only the rules mentioned in this article but with all the laws and rules contained in the Veterinary Medical Practice Act and Rules. If you do not have a copy of the Practice Act and Rules, please contact our office and a copy will be forwarded to you or you may review the Practice Act and Rules on the Board's website, www.pr.mo.gov.

IN THIS ISSUE...

Veterinary Issues of Interest	1
Message From Division Director	
Meet the Members of the Board	
Chair's Report	
Important Information Concerning Internship/	
Preceptorship Evaluation Forms	4
Executive Director's Report	5
Year End Report	
New Veterinarian Licensees	6
New Veterinary Technician Licensees	7
Contact with Members of the Board	7
Disciplinary Actions	8
Disciplined Veterinarians8-	-10
Calendar of Events	.11
Map of Active Veterinarians	
Reporting of Change of Address	.12

Governor

The Honorable Matt Blunt

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration
W. Dale Finke, Director

Division of Professional RegistrationDavid Broeker, Director

MISSOURI VETERINARY MEDICAL BOARD

BOARD MEMBERS

Linda Hickam-Fountain, DVM, Chair David Gourley, DVM, Vice-Chair Charles Barry, DVM, Member Jean Stark, DVM, Member Shane Brookshire, DVM, Ex-Officio Member Owen Smith, Public Member

BOARD STAFF

Dana K. Hoelscher, Executive Director Amity Jeffries, Administrative Assistant Amber Wilde, Licensure Technician

3605 Missouri Boulevard P.O. Box 633 Jefferson City, MO 65109/65102 (573) 751-0031 (573) 526-3856 Fax (800) 735-2966 TDD vets@pr.mo.gov Internet http://pr.mo.gov



State Board Report is an official publication of the Division of Professional Registration, Missouri Veterinary Medical Board. Submit articles to: State Board Report, P.O. Box 633, Jefferson City, MO 65102.

MESSAGE FROM DIVISION DIRECTOR

By: David Broeker, Division Director

My sincere appreciation to Governor Blunt for having appointed me the Director of the Division of Professional Registration beginning July 2. It is certainly a pleasure to serve in this position.

For the last 14+ years I owned and operated my own business in Jefferson City. Prior to that, I spent 17 ½ years in state government; in the Governor's Office, State Auditor's Office, and the Department of Agriculture.

As you probably know, the Governor issued Executive Order 06-04 on February 1, 2006, that created the Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration. By a Type III transfer our division became a part of this new department on August 28. A type III transfer is the transfer of a department, division, agency, board, commission, unit, or program to the new department with only such supervision by the head of the department for budgeting and reporting. The Division of Professional Registration was previously a division within the Department of Economic Development.

I am most grateful to the Division's and Boards' staff for the support, advice, and counsel they have given me. This is truly a great group of people.

I look forward to working with all my associates in Professional Registration and continuing the excellent service the entire division has given the approximately 400,000 Missourians who are licensed and regulated and who represent 240 different trades and professions.

Please feel free to contact me if I can be of assistance to you. I look forward to working with you in the years to come.

MEMBERS OF THE VETERINARY MEDICAL BOARD

The Missouri Veterinary Medical Board would like to take this opportunity to introduce the members of the Board. Listed below are the current members of the Board.



Linda Hickam, DVM
Thompson, MO
Chairperson
Term Expires: 8/29/06



Charles Barry, DVM Warrensburg, MO Member Term Expires: 8/29/08



David Gourley, DVMMountain Grove, MO
Vice-Chair
Term Expires: 8/29/06



Shane Brookshire, DVM
Jefferson City, MO
State Veterinarian
Ex Officio Member



Jean Stark, DVM Fayette, MO Member Term Expired: 8/23/05



Owen Smith
Buffalo, MO
Public Member
Term Expires: 8/29/09

CHAIR'S REPORT

Linda Hickam, DVM

On behalf of the Board, I would like to take this opportunity to welcome our newly appointed members, Charles Barry, DVM and Owen Smith. The Board looks forward to working with Dr. Barry and Mr. Smith as its newest members.

I would also like to thank Roger "Dusty" Shaw and Stephen Goff, DVM for their hard work and dedication to the Board. Mr. Shaw served as a member of the Missouri Veterinary Medical Board from August 29, 1991 to April 4, 2006. Mr. Shaw also served as Chair of the Board from January 20, 1994 to April 4, 2006. Dr. Goff served as a member of the Board from February 11, 2002 to April 11, 2006. Mr. Shaw and Dr. Goff were great assets to the Board and their presence and wealth of knowledge will be greatly missed.

Frequently, the Missouri Veterinary Medical Board receives notification from the Missouri Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs (BNDD) that a Missouri licensed veterinarian has violated Missouri controlled substance laws by failing to inform the BNDD that they have changed practice locations. The Board has also seen an increase of controlled substance record keeping and security inspections conducted by BNDD where violations are noted.

If a veterinarian is going to conduct any activities with controlled substances, he or she must have a registration from the BNDD and the federal Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA). The BNDD registration is valid for the specific address which appears on the registration. If a veterinarian has a BNDD registration and changes to a different practice location that registration terminates if the veterinarian does not notify the BNDD of the change. Any prescriptions written for controlled substances or any other controlled substance activities conducted without a valid BNDD registration are a violation of Missouri controlled substance laws. The registration does not terminate for 30 days from the effective date of the change if the person applies for a new registration or modification within the 30-day period.

As indicated previously, the Board has seen an increase in violations concerning controlled substance record keeping and security. The Board has cause to discipline a licensee who has violated the drug laws and rules and regulations of this state, any other state, territory, or the federal government. The Board encourages its licensees to review the Missouri drug laws, rules and regulations and make sure they are adhered to. You may visit the BNDD website at www.dhss.mo.gov/BNDD or call the BNDD at (573) 751-6321. You may also wish to review the federal drug laws at www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov.

I hope you find the information provided in our newsletter beneficial. Please do not hesitate to contact the Board's office, if you have any questions.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION CONCERNING INTERNSHIP/PRECEPTORSHIP EVALUATION FORMS

The Board would like to remind its licensees that all sections of the Internship/Preceptorship Evaluation form must be completed. The purpose of the internship/preceptorship is to provide the applicant with at least three hundred twenty (320) hours of work experience in veterinary medicine under supervision prior to licensure. The applicant must gain experience in diagnosis, treatment, surgery and practice management.

When the evaluation form is reviewed, it must determine the number of hours and days the applicant worked; as well as, if the applicant received experience in diagnosis, treatment, surgery and practice management. Therefore, it is important that when completing the evaluation form that it be stated in the spaces provided that the applicant gained clinical or practical experience in the specified areas. It is recommended that a concise report by provided, which includes the applicants experience at your clinic. If the applicant had experience in the required areas but the information was not provided, the applicant will not receive credit in that specific area. This could ultimately hold up an applicant from obtaining their license.

Executive Director's Report

By:Dana K. Hoelscher, Executive Director

I would like to take this opportunity to remind all licensees that if a change of ownership, physical location, name and/or function has occurred, the veterinarian in charge must apply for a new permit and submit all applicable fees prior to performing any veterinary services in the facility. It is important to note that if ownership of a veterinary facility changes, not only is the veterinarian in charge to whom the permit was originally issued responsible for notifying the board that the facility has been sold but also the new owner of the facility is responsible for applying for a new permit. The new permit must be issued prior to performing any veterinary services in the facility.

The Board would also like to remind its licensees that if your facility is owned by a corporation, partnership or other business organization, you are required by Section 340.226, RSMo to make sure that your articles of incorporation, partnership agreement or business organization documents clearly state that the licensed veterinarian is not subject to the direction of anyone not licensed to practice veterinary medicine in Missouri in making veterinary medical decisions or judgments.

You will be required to produce copies of your articles of incorporation, partnership agreement or business organization documents reflecting the content of this statement at any time you are required to file for a new facility permit. Failure to have the correct documentation could delay the issuance of the new facility permit; therefore, leaving a facility without a license to practice until such amendments can be made.

Currently, the fee for a new facility permit is \$100.00. The \$100.00 fee is also applied if a change of ownership, physical location, name and/or function has

occurred. The Board is currently reviewing its rules and is considering lower the fee it charges for a change in function and name. The fee charged will be \$25.00 instead of \$100.00. If a facility has a change in ownership or physical location, the \$100.00 will be assessed. The Board's fees are set by rule; therefore, the Board must go through the rulemaking process to amend the rule. We will notify all licensees when the rule becomes effective.

The Board office also receives a number of calls each year requesting a copy of the Continuing Education Reporting form. In 2004, the Board voted to eliminate the use of the Continuing Education Reporting form. The form caused many renewal applications to be rejected and confusion among licensees. Many licensees when audited would submit the Continuing Education Reporting form and not the actual certificates of completion. To this date, the Board receives the Continuing Education Reporting form with renewal applications and when audited. The Board understands that many veterinarians use the Continuing Education Reporting form to keep track of the hours they have earned. However, the certificate of completion must be submitted to the Board if you are selected in the random audit and must contain the title of the course taken, date, location, course sponsor, number of hours earned, etc. Therefore, please make certain that when you attend a continuing education course that you obtain a certificate of completion from the sponsoring organization.

If you have any questions, regarding this newsletter or licensure issues please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Year End Report

July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2006

The 2006 fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. Following is the end of the year report on Board activities as they relate to the veterinary medical profession in the State of Missouri.

	Veterinarians	Veterinary Technicians	Facilities
Total number of Licensees	2,838	674	804
New Licenses Issued	139	63	73
Licenses Renewed			
Active	2,297	416	
Inactive	412	202	
Licenses Non-renewed	77	23	
Official Complaints Received	90		

New Veterinarian Licensees

July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2006

Michael Adkesson	James Doles	Elizabeth Lechner	Magdala Sayre
Jose Alcantara	Jodi Echternkamp	Edward Lents	Kevin Schabbing
Christopher Alford	Danielle Eifler	Thomas Lopp	Susan Sears
Sherry Appel	Tanya Esther	James Lowe	Tanya Seckman
Kathryn Atkinson	Vanessa Firl	Beth Luebbering	Jarett Seiler
Kara Ballenger	Jane Flathers	Erin Luxford	James Shackelford
John Bare	Rachel Fleischacker	Valerie Lydolph	Claire Sharp
Meggan Bayer	Kara Forsee	Heather Markway	Rachel Smith
Rachel Beltz	Melissa Freeman	Angela McCleary-Wheeler	Kug Ju Song
Monica Bentley	Amy Goodnow	Amber McCoig	Jeffrey Spector
Timothy Birkner	Maureen Gray	Erin McDermott	Patricia Stewart
Amy Blomquist	Kelly Greiner	Cynthia Moon	Shelli Suda
Erika Bollin	Jennifer Halstead	Carey McInnis	Michelle Taylor
Shane Boylan	Kevin Harsha	Reginald McIntyre	Angalyn Theno
Stephen Brady, III	Amnda Hawkins	Keira Mellion	Kelley Thieman
Heather Brettschneider	Dusty Headley	Thomas Mick	Duane Thomas
Tirsten Brockmeier	Shahrzad Heidari	Sarah Moore	Elizabeth Thomovsky
Jennifer Brown	Chandra Heider	Lori Myers	Marsha Thompson
Ricki Brozman	James Jones, Jr	Shannon Napiwocki	Rebecca Tremble
Kevin Burton	Jennifer Heidt	Katie Nordhus	Jarrod Vancil
Nicole Butler	William Heite	April Olmstead	Amanda Veatch
Jessica Carr	Heather Hendrickson	Kristie Owler	Jose Armando Villamil
Lianne Carr	Sarah Hesse	Luke Pickett	Pamela VonBehrens
Sheila Carrera-Justiz	Wesley Hood	Rebecca Plamondon	Gary Welcher
Steven Case	Jennifer Kalishman-	Jaime Plappert	James Wellman
Anthony Chance	Druxman	Trista Pleimann	Robert Wilkinson
Benjamin Character	Joahnna Keigwin	Scott Poock	Fred Williams
Jennifer Cira	Julie Kenzior	Thomas Radke	Dana Wilson
Janet Coffman	Nathaniel Keppie	Molly Ramsey-Norris	Kara Wolf
Traci Cole	Shannon Kesting	Angela Randazzo	Anne Wood
Jennifer Comte-Fassero	Dae Young Kim	Kimberly Retchloff	Thomas Wooten
Alison Cuddy	Timothy Koors	Jennifer Reyher	Jennifer Worsey
John Davis	Stephanie Kottler	Cheryl Rhodes	Amy Wrenn
Judith Dennis	Julie Krogh	Wesley Rice	Julie Zimmermann
Jean-Christophe Deux	Joann Kunz	Linsey Ryckman	
David Dismukes	Melissa Lafferty	Stuart Ryder	

New Veterinary Technician Licensees

July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2006

Julie Al-Thalathini Jessica Archambault Julie Baker Melissa Bartlett Jodi Beetem Michael Behle Robin Benjamin Cheri Berg Stacey Brewer Jamie Buschmann Erin Bverlev Jennoffer Cadwallader Jana Coffman Laura Davenport Ashley Emerson Kimberly Fahnestock

Jennifer Gamble Patricia Gassier Jami George Wendy Gibbs Audra Grasz Sarah Hanna Jodie Haves Elizabeth Hester **Emily Hewitt** Anita Higgins Catherine Huff Julie Katchmar Anita Keck Sara Klinefelter Annette Koerperich Ashley Kramer

Ellen Lake Melissa Lampe Jennifer Lawson Laura Lehman Julie Lona Diana Lopez Kacey Lundy Bonnie Lynch Renee Maihot Colleen McCarty Alison Monnin Carol Newell Katie Noland Cynthia Pedersen Andrea Pierce Christina Piraquive Shannon Renner
Crystal Rinehart
Julie Sahm
Amanda Seitter
Melynn Serkes
Erin Shunk
Ruth Silverberg
Stephanie Stevens
Melany Sutherland
Callie Thomas
Graham Tschanz
Charlotte Waack
Kindra White
Heather Workman

CONTACT WITH MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

The Missouri Veterinary Medical Board is responsible for the regulation of the practice of veterinary medicine in the State of Missouri. The powers of the board are granted to enable the board to effectively supervise the practice of veterinary medicine and to carry out the intent and provisions of sections 340.200 to 340.330. The Board's mission is to professionally and courteously serve and protect the public by providing for licensure and regulation of doctors of veterinary medicine, veterinary technicians and veterinary facilities pursuant to Chapter 340, RSMo. The Board consists of six (6) members.

The Board would like to remind you that when Board members are contacted directly by a licensee or individual regarding an application for licensure, a complaint, investigation, or disciplinary process, any information given to that Board member could sway his or her unbiased opinion. A Board member receiving communication that could sway his or her opinion may be required to recuse themselves and not take part in a vote on the matter. This could put the Board member in an awkward position while trying to do his or her job and could put the licensee at a disadvantage.

It is strongly encouraged and recommended that all questions and concerns be referred to the Board's office. Board staff handles all the day-to-day functions of the Board. Therefore, questions can be answered based on full Board decisions and lessen miscommunication between the Board and its licensees. If questions are on complex issues, we might recommend requesting, in writing, an advisory opinion from the Board. Our hope is to make it as easy as possible for licensees to comply with Board Statute and Regulations.

Please keep in mind that individual Board members are not authorized to make a decision for the entire Board.

DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS

All complaints received by the Board are assigned a complaint number. A complaint may be based upon personal knowledge or beliefs based on information received from other sources. The complaints must be made in writing. Verbal or telephone communications are not acceptable, but you may request a complaint form by telephone, fill it out and mail it back to the Board. In general, the complaint is considered to be a closed record and is not accessible to the public. Any complaint that is received by the Board is acknowledged in writing. The complainant will be notified of the final outcome. Any disciplinary action taken by the Board is a matter of public record. The Board believes publication of disciplinary actions to be in the public interest and has included such in this newsletter. If you have any questions, please contact the Board's office.

DISCIPLINED VETERINARIANS July 2005 - June 2006

VIOLATION - Section 340.264.2(9), RSMo

In November 2003, Licensee was disciplined by the Virginia Board of Veterinary Medicine. Licensee's Virginia veterinary license was reprimanded and assessed a monetary penalty for the following conduct: Licensee was presented with a pregnant canine. The patient was not eating, drinking, or able to walk. Licensee failed to determine why the animal was unable to eat, drink or walk and thereby failed to institute appropriate medical therapy.

BOARD ACTION - Licensee's license as a doctor of veterinary medicine was publicly censured.

<u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u> - Licensee entered into a Settlement Agreement with the Board on May 25, 2000. Licensee's license was placed on probation for five years. Terms of probation required licensee to sit for and pass the Missouri State Board Examination during the disciplinary period. Licensee failed to sit for and pass the Missouri State Board Examination during the disciplinary period. Licensee's conduct is in violation of the terms of discipline set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

<u>BOARD ACTION</u> - Licensee's license as a doctor of veterinary medicine was revoked. Imposition of the revocation shall be stayed for a period of six months. During the six month stay, Licensee shall take and successfully complete the Missouri State Board Examination. If Licensee takes and successfully completes the Missouri State Board Examination, upon the expiration of six months, Licensee's license as a veterinarian in the state of Missouri shall be fully restored if all other requirements of law have been satisfied. In the event that Licensee fails to take and successfully complete the Missouri State Board Examination, upon the expiration of six months, Licensee's license as a veterinarian in the State of Missouri shall be revoked.

VIOLATION - Section 340.264.2 (6), RSMo

In October 2003, Licensee evaluated a patient because the canine was suffering from a severe cough, congestion and red spots in her ears. During the October 2003 visit, the animal was coughing, very congested and weak. Licensee's examination indicated lung consolidation and crackle sounds in the lungs. Licensee made the determination that the animal was suffering from aspiration pneumonia. Licensee failed to perform any diagnostic measures, specifically radiographs and/or bloodwork, to determine the cause and seriousness. Licensee failed to prescribe antibiotics or any other medications to control the animal's cough due to the owner stating the animal was on prednisone and due to see another veterinarian in two days. The owner was advised to continue using that medication and was placed on nutritional supplements. Licensee's care was not within the minimum standard of acceptable physician care because he failed to perform radiographs and/or bloodwork to determine the cause and seriousness of the animal's condition. Licensee's care was not within the minimum standard of acceptable physician care because he failed to prescribe antibiotics or any other medications to control the cough. Licensee failed to use his professional ability in his treatment of the animal.

BOARD ACTION -Licensee's license as a doctor of veterinary medicine was placed on probation for one year.

DISCIPLINED VETERINARIANS continued

VIOLATION - Section 340.264.2(14), RSMo

In April 2004, an investigator with the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs (BNDD) conducted an investigation of Licensee's veterinary office and revealed the following violations of state and federal drug laws: a) Licensee did not document the date on his annual inventory of controlled substances; b) Licensee did not document if his annual inventory of controlled substance was taken at the opening of business or closing of business; c) Licensee did not separate his annual inventory of Schedule II controlled substance from other inventory records of the practice; d) Licensee did not separate his annual inventory of Schedule III-V controlled substances from other inventory records of the practice; e) Licensee did not maintain an annual inventory of controlled substances for the year 2003 that was accurate and employees adjusted the annual inventory according to the daily perpetual log; f) Licensee did not document the date and quantity of controlled substances received on the third copy of DEA Form 222 Official Order Forms numbered 033507691 and 032671370; g) Licensee did not document the addresses of patients and the names or initials of persons dispensing controlled substances on the controlled substance dispensing log; h) Licensee's controlled substance dispensing records did not provide an accurate count of dosage units on hand; i) Licensee dispensed controlled substances in containers that did not bear a label warning that it is illegal to transfer controlled substances; j) A bottle of phenobaribital was discovered that had two different sizes of dosage units in the bottle and Licensee informed the Bureau that was due to different lot number dosages being mixed; k) Licensee maintained receipt invoices for controlled substances received that did not bear the DEA number of the supplier; I) Licensee maintained controlled substance anesthesia administration logs that did not document the patient's address, drug name, drug strength or quantity administered; m) Controlled substance records from the first quarter of 2003 could not be produced at the time of inspection and were not readily retrievable; n) Licensee failed to provide effective controls and procedures to guard against theft and diversion of controlled substances in that he did not have a documented time and date of annual controlled substances inventory, did not document the date of receipt for controlled substances received, did not have an accurate count of dosage units on hand, mixed dosage units from different lot numbers, and did not always document the quantity of controlled substances administered which made it impossible to perform an exact audit to determine if Licensee's controlled substances were accounted for or missing. After concluding its investigation, BNDD placed Licensee's controlled substance registration on probation for a period of three years.

BOARD ACTION - Licensee's license as a doctor of veterinary medicine was placed on probation for three years or until the period of probation imposed by BNDD terminates, whichever occurs first.

<u>VIOLATION</u> - Section 340.264.2 (1) and (14), RSMo

From May 2004 to June 2004, while on duty, Licensee withdrew 15 ml of Torbugesic, for his personal consumption. On or about September 2004, the shortage of Torbugesic was noticed by Licensee's employer. Licensee admitted to diverting Torbugesic for his personal use. Licensee used the Torbugesic to self-medicate for an existing injury. As a result of Licensee's admission, Licensee obtained a Substance Abuse Evaluation, started attending AA/NA meetings, obtained medical treatment from an endocrinologist for his medical issues, and also started seeing a psychologist and psychiatrist. Licensee also undergoes random drug screenings. Licensee did not have a valid prescription for Torbugesic.

BOARD ACTION - Licensee's license as a doctor of veterinary medicine is placed on probation for a period of two years. Licensee must comply with the requirements regarding chemical dependency treatment and rehabilitation, as well as, drug screenings.

VIOLATION - Section 340.264.2 (2), RSMo

In January 2006, in the 38th Judicial Circuit Court, Licensee pled guilty to two counts of the class C felony of Child Molestation in the first degree in violation of Section 566.067, RSMo, Case No. 05N8-CR00064-01. Licensee was sentenced to eight years incarceration on each count, to run concurrently in the Department of Corrections. Child molestation in the first degree is a crime involving moral turpitude and reasonably related to the functions and duties of a veterinarian.

BOARD ACTION - Licensee's license as a doctor of veterinary medicine is revoked.

DISCIPLINED VETERINARIANS continued

VIOLATION OF PROBATION - Licensee entered into a Settlement Agreement with the Board on November 25, 2003. Licensee's license was placed on probation for five years. Terms of probation required licensee to comply with the provisions of Chapter 340 and 195, RSMo, the regulations of the Board, all applicable federal and state drug laws, rules and regulations, all federal and state criminal laws, and all federal and state animal health laws including USDA standards for accredited veterinarians. In November 2004, BNDD conducted an inspection of controlled substance record keeping and security. In violation of 21 CFR 13401.35(C), Licensee's DEA certificate was not readily retrievable. Licensee failed to maintain an annual inventory for the controlled substances in Licensee's possession, in violation of Section 195.050.6, RSMo and 19 CSR 30-1.042(3). An audit of selected controlled substances revealed the discrepancies. Licensee failed to maintain complete and accurate records of controlled substances distributed in violation of Section 195.050.6, RSMo and 19 CSR 30-1.044(1). In August 2005, as a result of the above-referenced controlled substance record violations, Licensee voluntarily surrendered his DEA controlled substance registration. Effective March 2006, as a result of the above-referenced controlled substance record violations, the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services, Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs revoked Licensee's registration. Licensee's conduct is in violation of the terms and conditions of the Board's Settlement Agreement, thus entitling the Board to impose further discipline.

BOARD ACTION - Licensee's license as a doctor of veterinary medicine was suspended for a period of one (1) year. Suspension is stayed and Licensee's license is immediately placed on probation for a period of five (5) years. Within one (1) year from the effective date of the Agreement, Licensee shall take and successfully complete the Missouri State Board Examination, obtain an additional 10 hours of continuing education with at least two (2) hours in medical records documentation and two (2) hours in controlled substances, pass an inspection of Licensee's facility by the Board, and not possess or otherwise maintain an inventory of controlled substances, as defined in Chapter 195, RSMo, and shall not prescribe or dispense controlled substances at any location where Licensee practices.

VIOLATION - Section 340.264.2 (14), (22) and (24)

On twelve different occasions beginning July 14, 2005 and continuing through July 21, 2005, Licensee administered Ketaset to patients. In July 2005, Licensee did not have a Missouri Controlled Substance Registration. In September 2005, Licensee submitted an application to BNDD for a registration to stock, prescribe, dispense and administer controlled substances. In March 2006, Licensee's application for a BNDD controlled substance registration was granted subject to three (3) years probation.

BOARD ACTION - Licensee's license as a doctor of veterinary medicine was placed on probation for three (3) years to run concurrent with Licensee's BNDD probation.

VIOLATION - Section 340.264.2 (14), (22) and (24)

In January 2006, Licensee entered into a Settlement Agreement with BNDD which placed his BNDD registration on probation for two (2) years. Licensee's BNDD registration was placed on probation for the following violations: A) Failure to maintain a controlled substance inventory as required by Section 195.050.6, RSMo; B) Failure to maintain controlled substance receipt records with the date of receipt as required by Section 195.050, RSMo; C) Failure to document the date and quantity of controlled substances received as required by 21 CFR 1305.09(e); D) Failure to maintain records of controlled substance transfers as required by Section 195.050.6, RSMo; E) Licensee allowed another veterinarian to administer, dispense and purchase controlled substances without a BNDD registration as required by Section 195.070.1 and 19 CSR 30-1.066; F) Failure to maintain a separate dispensing log for Phenobarbital as required by Section 195.070.1 and 19 CSR 30-1.048(1)(D); G) Failure to maintain complete and accurate controlled substance records as required by Section 195.050.6, RSMo; H) Failure to have adequate security, controls and procedures in place to detect and prevent the diversion of controlled substances as required by 19 CSR 30-1.031(1).

BOARD ACTION - Licensee's license as a doctor of veterinary medicine was placed on probation for two (2) years to run concurrent with Licensee's BNDD probation.

CALENDAR OF EVENTS

The next meeting of the Missouri Veterinary Medical Board is scheduled on October 26 & 27, 2006. The meeting will be held at the Division of Professional Registration Building in Jefferson City Missouri.

For further information regarding meeting times and locations, please feel free to contact the Board's central office at (573) 751-0031. The meeting schedule is as follows:

NOVEMBER

November 13 through December 9, 2006 - Testing Window for the Administration of the North American Veterinary Licensing Examination (NAVLE)

JANUARY

January 2007 - Board Meeting and State Board Examination Tan-Tar-A Resort, Osage Beach, Missouri

APRIL

April 9-21, 2007 - Testing Window for the Administration of the North American Veterinary Licensing Examination (NAVLE)



18

Missouri Veterinary Medical Board 3605 Missouri Boulevard, PO Box 633 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 526-3856 (Fax)		
Mail or Fax to:		
Date:	Signature:	
	Phone Number:	
	New Address:	
	Old Address:	
License Number:	Name:	
PLEASE NOTIFY THE BOARD OFFICE OF YOUR NEW ADDRESS		

PRSTD STD
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
Permit 237
Jefferson City, MO

Missouri Veterinary Medical Board 3605 Missouri Boulevard P.O. Box 633 Jefferson City, MO 65102

STATE BOARD REPORT